

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,117 11/12/2003		Malgorzata Bugajski	BECKER-1005	7669		
7733	7590	07/19/2005		EXAMINER		
WALKER & JOCKE, L.P.A. 231 SOUTH BROADWAY STREET				SAMPLE, DAVID R		
MEDINA, OH 44256				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1755		

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary 10/712,117		Application No.	Applicant/s)							
Examiner David Sample -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time rangle is available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In sevent, however, may a reply be limited to the period for reply ta specified shore, the maximum dation of the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In sevent, however, may a reply be limited to the period for reply ta specified shore, the maximum dation of 30 CPR 1.136(a). In sevent, however, may a reply be limited from the reply as a period to the source of the sourc		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
David Sample 1755 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION If the period for reply specified shore is less than thirty (30) days, a reply whith the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limits. If the period for reply specified shore is less than thirty (30) days, a reply whith the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limits. If the period for reply specified shore, the meaning date of this communication. If the period for reply specified shore, the meaning date of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered limits. Any reply vectored by the Office that then there member after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searmed patent than deputinent. Set 37 CFR 1.79(b). Status 1) Separative to communication(s) filled on 12 November 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c Marchael Copies of the priority documents hav	Office Action Summary									
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be aveilised used the provisions of 27 CR 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as inply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as inply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as inply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as inply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as inply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as inply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as inply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified to reply within the statutory of the application is communication. If the period for reply specified to specified to reply within the statutory of the period to reply the specification is one finance and the period to the specified timely. If the period for the period to the period to the day of the specified to by the Examiner. If the period for the period to t	·									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extencions of them may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled If the period for reply appecified above is lines bean thirty (20) days, a raply which the statistacy minimum of thirty (20) days, a raply which the statistacy minimum of thirty (20) days, a raply which the statistacy minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply appecified above, the madrams catalately period will apply and will explore (50) MONTH'S from the rating date of this communication. Falarize to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic period will apply and will explore (50) MONTH'S from the rating date of this communication. Falarize to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic period will apply and will explore (50) MONTH'S from the rating date of this communication. Falarize to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic period will apply and will explore (50) MONTH'S from the rating date of this communication. Falarize to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic period will apply and will explore (50) MONTH'S from the rating date of this communication. Proper to the period of the reply septiment. Responsive to communication (s) filled on 12 November 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Month's from the replication on for fill and communication. Size this application is one officin for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation for formal matters. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are analysed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are an	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MODITISTS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply the time of the communication	Period for Reply		•							
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(e)** 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status	•								
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No.	ovember 2003.								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.	,							
Application of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) All b □ Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) All b □ Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1450 or PTO/S8/08) All continued Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1450 or PTO/S8/08)		•	*							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(e) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Altachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(Disposition of Claims									
Signature of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.									
6) Claim(s) 7-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Authority Interview Summary (PTO-113) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Autachment(s) Autachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>		·							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· ·	election requirement	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) D) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) C) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) D) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 50 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Sol Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) A Universely Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) A Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
Attachment(s) Outline of References Cited (PTO-892) Outline of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Outline of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Outline of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Outline of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)										
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)	Attachment(s)									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa								

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed November 12, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed.

Applicants did not submit a copy of the non-patent literature reference authored by Shigetoshi Uto et al., therefore, the reference has not been considered and has been lined through on the PTO/SB/08A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9, 20, 25, and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 9 and 10, "the application temperature" lacks literal antecedent basis.

Claim 15 is indefinite for the use of parenthetical phrases because the claim is unclear as to whether the parenthetical phrases are explicit recitations of the claim or are merely exemplary.

The term "fine" in claim 16 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "fine" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for

ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably appraised of the scope of the invention.

Claim 19 provides for the use of the batch of claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,117

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Naito et al. (US Patent No. 4,334,029).

Examples C-32, 3-2, to 3-6 of Naito et al. anticipate one or more claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11-16, and 18. See col. 9, lines 26-67, col. 10, lines 26-38, col. 13, lines 31-32, col. 19, lines 64-66, and Table 12, col's 35-38.

The examiner notes that the present claims refer to mass percent whereas the reference refers to parts by weight. The following is a table showing the compositions of the reference in mass percent:

Example:	C-32	3-2	3-3	3-4	3-5	3-6
Siliceous	SSB-4 (sodium	SSB-4	SSB-4	SSB-4	SSB-4	SSB-4
binder	boron silicate)					
Siliceous	5	5	7	8	5	5
binder in M%						
C-forming component	Tar and pitch	PRP (Phenolic resin)	PRR	PRP	PRP	PRP
C-forming component in M%	5	5	5	5	5	8
Phosphate containing component	H-3 (Silicon polyphosphate)	H-3	Н-3	Н-3	H-3	H-3
Phosphate containing component in M%	3	3	3	3	5	4
Refractory Mat'l M%	87 ,	87	85	84	87	83

The refractory material in the above examples was sintered coarse alumina, sintered fine powder alumina and scaly graphite having a particle size of 1-3 mm, less than 0.074 mm, and less than 0.074 mm, respectively. See the table bridging col's 34 and 35.

The reference fails to disclose an example which anticipates claims 3 and 17, however, the reference discloses closely overlapping ranges with the ranges recited in claims 3 and 17 and are deemed to be sufficiently specific to anticipate the ranges of claims 3 and 17. See the abstract of the reference and MPEP 2131.03.

The reference fails to disclose the properties of claims 4, 9 and 10, however, the properties of a refractory are determined by its composition, and the composition of the reference is identical to the composition of the reference. Therefore, the claimed properties are assumed to be inherent to the composition of the reference. See MPEP 2112.

The recitations of claim 19 can be found in the reference at col. 8, lines 32-48.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naito et al. (US 4,334,029).

As noted above, Naito et al. fails to disclose an anticipatory example, and for purposes of this rejection, it is assumed that the ranges are not sufficiently specific to anticipate claims 3 and 17.

Art Unit: 1755

However, the reference describes a composition with overlapping ranges of components with the composition of the instant claims. See the abstract. Overlapping ranges have been held to establish *prima facie* obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (571)272-1376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (572)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/712,117

Art Unit: 1755

Page 7

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Sample
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755